IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

In re:) Case No.: 24-43233-357		
) Honorable Brian C. Walsh		
URBAN CHESTNUT BREWING) Chapter 11 Proceeding		
COMPANY, INC.)		
) Hearing Date: May 21, 2025		
EIN - 27-1324055) Hearing Time: 11:00 a.m.		
Debtor.) Location: Courtroom 5 North		
) Spencer P. Desai, Esq.		
) Desai Law Firm LLC		
) 13321 North Outer Forty Rd., Suite 300		
) St. Louis, Missouri 63017		
) (314) 666-9781		
	spd@desailawfirmllc.com		

AMENDED MOTION OF DEBTOR URBAN CHESTNUT BREWING COMPANY, INC. PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 365, FOR ENTRY OF AN ORDER AUTHORIZING THE REJECTION OF CERTAIN EXECUTORY CONTRACTS

PARTIES TO UNEXPIRED EXECUTORY CONTRACTS RECEIVING THIS MOTION SHOULD LOCATE THEIR RESPECTIVE NAMES ON EXHIBIT A ATTACHED

COME NOW Urban Chestnut Brewing Company, Inc. ("Debtor"), by and through the undersigned counsel, and for its Motion for Entry of an Order Authorizing the Rejection of Certain Executory Contracts (the "Motion"), state to the Court as follows:

JURISDICTION

- This Court has jurisdiction over this Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334.
 This matter is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b).
 - 2. Venue of this Motion is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.
 - 3. Debtor brings this Motion pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 105(a) and 365(a).

INTRODUCTION

- 4. The Debtor operates a craft brewery and restaurant. In order to sell its beer products beyond its retail locations, the Debtor has entered a number of Wholesale Distribution Agreements and Wholesaler Assignment Agreements ("Wholesale Agreements") with various parties.
- 5. The Debtor filed a Motion to Sell Substantially All Its Assets Free and Clear of Liens (Doc. 70) to Keg Holdings LLC ("Sale Motion"). The Sale Motion was granted on March 18, 2025 ("Sale Order") (Doc. 179). The closing on the Sale is scheduled for April 11, 2025.

RELIEF REQUESTED

- 6. The Debtor seeks entry of on order, under Sections 105(a) and 365(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, to reject the Wholesale Agreements and identified on the attached Exhibit A (the "Rejected Contracts").
- 7. The closing of the sale transaction is expected to take place on April 11, 2025, or soon thereafter. Upon the closing of the sale, the Debtor's business operations will cease and the Debtor will no longer be licensed as a malt beverage or wine manufacturer in the State of Missouri.
- 8. Section 365(a) of the Bankruptcy Code provides that a debtor, "subject to the court's approval, may assume or reject any executory contract or unexpired lease." 11 U.S.C. § 365(a). Courts routinely approve motions to assume, assume and assign or reject executory contracts or unexpired leases upon a showing that the debtor's decision to take such action will benefit the debtor's estate and is an exercise of sound business judgment. See *Four B. Corp. v. Food Barn Stores, Inc.* (*In re Food Barn Stores, Inc.*), 107 F.3d 558, 567 n.16 (8th Cir. 1997) ("Although the court uses a business judgment test in deciding whether to approve a trustee's motion to assume, reject, or assign an unexpired lease or executory contract, this entails a determination that the transaction is in the best interest of the estate."); *In re Steaks to Go, Inc.*, 226 B.R. 35, 37 (Bankr. E.D. Mo. 1998) ("Generally, a Bankruptcy Court is to review a decision by a debtor in possession

or a trustee to reject an executory contract, and order rejection if the rejection is based on the debtor or trustee's best business judgment in the circumstances."); see also *N.L.R.B. v. Bildisco & Bildisco*, 465 U.S. 513, 523 (1984) (stating that the traditional standard applied by courts under section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code is that of "business judgment"); In re GP Express Airlines, Inc., 200 B.R. 222, 230 (Bankr. D. Neb. 1996) ("In deciding whether to approve a debtor's assumption of an executory contract, bankruptcy courts usually apply the best business judgment test. The best business judgment test generally requires a showing that assumption of an executory contract benefits and is in the best interests of the bankruptcy estate and is the result of the exercise of reasonable business judgment.").

- 9. Courts generally will not second-guess a debtor's reasonable and good faith business judgment concerning the assumption or rejection of an executory contract or unexpired lease. See, e.g., *Food Barn Stores*, 107 F.3d at 567 n.16 ("Where the trustee's request is not manifestly unreasonable or made in bad faith, the court should normally grant approval '[a]s long as [the proposed action] appears to enhance [the] debtor's estate."") (quoting *Richmond Leasing Co. v. Capital Bank, N.A.*, 762 F.2d 1303, 1309 (5th Cir. 1985) (alterations in original)); *Crystalin, L.L.C. v. Selma Props., Inc.* (*In re Crystalin*, L.L.C.), 293 B.R. 455, 464 (B.A.P. 8th Cir. 2003) ("If the initial test is met, the bankruptcy court should not interfere with the trustee or debtor-in-possession's business judgment 'except upon a finding of bad faith or gross abuse of their business discretion."") (quoting *Lubrizol Enters., Inc. v. Richmond Metal Finishers, Inc.*, 756 F.2d 1043, 1047 (4th Cir. 1985) (internal quotation marks omitted)).
- 10. The "business judgment" test is not a strict standard; it merely requires a showing that assumption of the executory contract will benefit the debtor's estate. See *Crystalin*, 293 B.R. at 463-64 ("[The business judgment test] is not an onerous [test] and does not require the bankruptcy court to place 'itself in the position of the trustee or debtor-in-possession and

determin[e] whether assuming the [lease] would be a good business decision or a bad one."') (quoting *Orion Pictures Corp. v. Showtime Networks, Inc.* (*In re Orion Pictures Corp.*), 4 F.3d 1095, 1099 (2d. Cir. 1993) (fourth alteration in original)); *Sundial Asphalt Co., Inc. v. V.P.C. Investors Corp.* (*In re Sundial Asphalt Co., Inc.*), 147 B.R. 72, 81 (E.D.N.Y. 1992) ("Under the 'business judgment' test, an executory contract for the sale of land should be rejected if the debtor can demonstrate that rejection will benefit the estate"). Further, "[s]ection 365 enables the trustee to maximize the value of the debtor's estate by assuming executory contracts and unexpired leases that benefit the estate and rejecting those that do not." *L.R.S.C. Co. v. Rickel Home Ctrs., Inc.* (*In re Rickel Home Ctrs., Inc.*), 209 F.3d 291, 298 (3d Cir. 2000); see also *Stewart Title Guar. Co. v. Old Republic Nat'l Title Ins. Co.*, 83 F.3d 735, 741 (5th Cir. 1996) (holding section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code "allows a trustee to relieve the bankruptcy estate of burdensome agreements which have not been completely performed") (internal quotation marks and citation omitted).

- 11. The Wholesale Agreements are not necessary or valuable in any way to the Debtors' estates. Therefore, the Debtor believes that rejecting the Wholesale Agreements is in the best interest of the Debtor's estate.
- 12. The Debtor also respectfully submits that it is appropriate for the Court to deem the Wholesale Agreements rejected retroactive to April 11, 2025 or the closing date. Courts in this District and others have held that bankruptcy courts may, in their discretion, authorize rejection under section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code retroactive to a date prior to entry of the order authorizing such rejection. See, e.g., Order, Pursuant to Sections 105 and 365 of the Bankruptcy Code, Authorizing the Rejection of Certain Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases Nunc Pro Tunc to the Date of this Motion, *In re Peabody Energy Corp.*, No. 16-42529 (Bankr. E.D. Mo. May 17, 2016) (Docket No. 521); Order Pursuant to Sections 105(a) and 365 of the Bankruptcy Code Authorizing the Rejection of Certain Executory Contracts Nunc Pro Tunc to the Petition

Date, *In re Noranda Aluminum, Inc.*, No. 16-10083 (Bankr. E.D. Mo. Mar. 15, 2016) (Docket No. 419) (granting debtors' motion to reject certain executory contracts, nunc pro tunc to the petition date); Order Authorizing the Rejection of Certain Executory Contracts, *In re Arch Coal, Inc.*, No. 16-40120 (Bankr. E.D. Mo. Feb. 24, 2016) (Docket No. 390) (entering order granting debtors' motion to reject certain executory contracts nunc pro tunc to the petition date).

13. In this instance, the balance of the equities favors the relief requested herein. The Debtor's business operations will conclude on April 11 (or the closing date) and the Wholesale Agreement holders will not be unduly prejudiced because the Debtor cannot legally perform under the Wholesale Agreements after the closing date.

COMPLIANCE WITH BANKRUPTCY RULE 6006(f)

- 14. F.R.B.P. 6006(f) establishes requirements for a motion to reject multiple executory contracts or unexpired leases that are not between the same parties. F.R.B.P. 6006(f) states, in part, that such a motion shall:
 - (1) state in a conspicuous place that the parties receiving the omnibus motion should locate their names and their contracts or leases listed in the motion;
 - (2) list parties alphabetically and identify the corresponding contract or lease;
 - (3) specify the terms, including the curing of defaults, for each requested assumption or assignment;

Filed 05/01/25 Entered 05/01/25 10:31:41 Main Document Case 24-43233 Doc 204 Pg 6 of 9

> (4) specify the terms, including the identity of each assignee and the adequate assurance of future performance by each assignee, for each requested

assignment;

(5) be numbered consecutively with other omnibus motions to assume, assign

or reject executory contracts or unexpired leases; and

(6) be limited to no more than 100 executory contracts or unexpired leases.

See F.R.B.P. 6006(f).

15. The Debtor submits that the relief requested in this motion complies with the

requirements of F.R.B.P. 6006(f).

WHEREFORE, the Debtor respectfully requests that this Court enter an order (i) approving

the relief sought in this Motion, (ii) providing that the Wholesale Agreements identified on the

attached Exhibit A are deemed rejected effective as of the date of this Motion, (iii) providing that

any and all claims related to rejection of the Wholesale Agreements shall be classified as

prepetition claims to the extent allowed and filed, and (iv) providing such other and further relief

as the Court deems just and equitable.

Dated: April 28, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

THE DESAI LAW FIRM, LLC

By: /s/ Spencer P. Desai

Spencer P. Desai, #39877 13321 North Outer Forty Road, Suite 300

St. Louis, MO 63017

Telephone: (314) 666-9781

Facsimile: (314) 448-4320

spd@desailawfirmllc.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEBTOR

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies on the 28th day of April, 2025, that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing pleading was served by electronic filing in the CM/ECF system of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Missouri which will send notification of such filing to the registered parties in interest and by first class mail, postage prepaid, to the following:

Office of the United States Trustee 111South 10 th Street, Room 6.353 St. Louis, Missouri 63102	Joshua M. Jones U.S. Attorney's Office 111 S. 10 th Street, Suite 20.333 St. Louis, Missouri 63102
Bruce D. LeMoine Armstrong Teasdale	Eric Peterson Spencer Fane
7700 Forsyth Boulevard Suite 1800	1 North Brentwood Ave. Clayton, MO 63105
St. Louis, Missouri 63105-1847	Clayton, WO 03103
Nathan S. Puckett Assistant City Counselor – City of St. Louis 1200 Market Street City Hall, Room 314 St. Louis, MO 63103	James W Byrne 3 Highland Place Saint Louis, MO 63122
Joseph B Basralian & Daniele V Basralian	Lester Nydegger c/o Scott Moore
24 Fairfax Terrace	Lewis Rice
Chatham, NJ 07928	600 Washington Ave, 25FL Saint Louis, MO 63101
Robert E. Eggmann, Esq.	J. Talbot Sant, Jr.
Thomas H. Riske, Esq. Carmody MacDonald P.C.	640 Cepi Dr. Suite A Chesterfield, MO 63005
120 South Central Avenue, Ste. 1800 St. Louis, Missouri 63105	
John J. Hall	Alan C. Hochheiser
Lewis Rice LLC 600 Washington Avenue, Suite 2500 St. Louis, Missouri 63101	Maurice Wutscher LLP 23611 Chagrin Blvd. Suite 207 Beachwood, OH 44122

/s/ Spencer P. Desai

EXHIBIT A

Case 24-43233 Doc 204 Filed 05/01/25 Entered 05/01/25 10:31:41 Main Document Pg 9 of 9

Wholesaler Name	Address 1	City	State	Zip
Abu Nawas Beverage Company	PO Box 1	Elkader	Iowa	52043
Ajax Turner	4010 Centrepointe Drive	La Vergne	TN	37086
Breakthru Beverage STL	6701 Southwest Avenue	St. Louis	MO	63143
Brewers Distributing Company	2421 W Townline Rd	Peoria	IL	61615
Donnewald Distributing Company	2100 Samuel W Andrews Drive	Greenville	IL	62246
Dynamo Distributing	1551 Clovis Barker Road, Suite 301	San Marcos	TX	78666
Golden Eagle Distributing	9669 Missouri Hwy 168	Hannibal	MO	63401-6545
Golden Eagle Illinois	449 S Sandy St.	Jacksonville	IL	62650
Grey Eagle Distributors	801 Assembly Parkway	Fenton	MO	63026
Grey Eagle Illinois	#1 Busch Boulevard	Belleville	IL	62223
H. W. Herrell Distributing Company	1002 White St.	Imperial	MO	63052
Heartland Beverage	750 N 17th St	St. Charles	IL	60174
It's Good Imports	150 Pilgrim Park Road	Waterbury	Vermont	05676
LaMonica Beverages, Inc.	4060 Rock Valley Parkway	Loves Park	IL	61111
Missouri Eagle	242 Highway Mm	Lebanon	MO	65536
N H Scheppers Distributing	2300 St Marys Blvd	Jefferson City	MO	65109
Progressive Distribution	110 Bomar Ct.	Longwood	FL	32750
Rinella Company	2001 Seminary Road	Quincy	IL	62301
Skeff Distributing Company	3585 E L&A Industrial Drive	Decatur	IL	62521
Wil Fischer Companies	3539 W. Farm Road 142	Springfield	MO	65807
Zink Distributing Company	3150 Shelby Street	Indianapolis	IN	46227